PATENT APPLICATION IN THE LINITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Group Art 2757 Examiner: D. DINH Inventor(s): **ELLIS** 0241552 GNC12US Atty. Dkt. 085.755 Appln. No.: Client Ref Series Code 个 Serial No. ↑ **GLOBAL NETWORK COMPUTERS** Filed: May 27, 1998 Hon. Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231 SEP 1 3 2001 Sir: Technology Center 2100 September 10, 2001 REPLY/AMENDMENT/LETT This is a reply/amendment/letter in the above-identified application and includes the herewith attachment of same date and subject which is incorporated hereinto by reference and the signature below is treated as the signature to the attachment in absence of a signature thereto. FEE REQUIREMENTS FOR CLAIMS AS AMENDED 1. Small Entity claim For B & C Large/Small Entity Additional Fee Code Claims Highest number Present Extra A. NOT made See Required previously paid for Fee B. Withdrawn remaining after Separate Paper Lg/Sm C. made herewith amendment (Pat-256) D. M made previously 103/203 **minus 33 0 x \$18/\$9 =+ \$0 2. Total Effective Claims 33 102/202 + \$0 ***minus 3 0 x \$80/\$40 =3. Independent Claims 4. If amendment enters proper multiple dependent claim(s) into this application for first + \$270/\$135 = + \$0 104/204 time (leave blank if this is a reissue application) add NONE 5. Original due Date: July 23, 2001 115/215 6. Petition is hereby made to extend the original due (1 mo) \$110/\$55 = 116/216 + \$195 date to cover the date this response is filed for which the (2 mos) \$390/\$195 = 117/217 (3 mos) \$890/\$445 = requisite fee is attached 118/218 \$1390/\$695= (Usable only for \leq 2mo.OA - - - 4 mos) 128/228 (Usable only for 30 day/1mo.OA - - - 5 mos) \$1890/\$945= 7. Enter any previous extension fee paid since above original due date and subtract - \$0 **Extension Fee Attached** + \$195 8. 148/248 9. If Terminal Disclaimer attached, add Rule 20(d) official fee + \$110/\$55 + \$0 126 10. If IDS attached requires Official Fee under Rule 97 (c),add + \$180 + \$0 126 or if Rule 97(d) Requestadd + \$180 146/246 + \$710/355 + \$0 11. After-Final Request Fee per rules 129(a) and 17(r) + \$0 149/249 x \$710/355 ea 12. No. of additional inventions for examination per Rule 129(b)...... 1179/1279 + \$710/355 + \$0 13. Request for Continued Examination (RCE) + \$0 14. Petition fee for TOTAL FEE ENCLOSED = \$195 15. 16. *If the entry in this space is less than entry in next space, the "Present Extra" result is "0". 17. **If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 20, write "20" in this space. 18. ***If the "Highest number previously paid for" in this space is less than 3, write "3" in this space. Our Deposit Account No. 03-3975) (Our Order No. 081498 0241552 CHARGE STATEMENT: The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficiencies only) now or

hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official Document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Accounting/Order Nos. shown above, for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

This CHARGE STATEMENT does not authorize charge of the issue fee until/unless an issue fee transmittal sheet is filed.

Query: Is appeal deadline now? If so, file Notice of Appeals separately.

Pillsbury Winthrop LLP

Intellectual Property Group

Dale S. Lazar By Atty:

Reg. No. 28872

McLean, VA 22102 Tel: (703) 905-2000

Atty/Sec: DSL/nlh

1600 Tysons Boulevard

Sig:

(703) 905-2500 Fax: Tel: (703) 905-2126

NOTE: File this cover sheet in duplicate with PTO receipt (PAT-103A) and attachments

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re CONTINUED PROSECUTION APPLICATION of

OR: GLOBAL NETWORK COMPUTERS

ELLIS III, Frampton E.

Client Ref: GNC12US

Appln. No.: 09/085,755

Group Art Unit: 2757

Bled: May 27, 1998

Examiner: D. Dinl

SEP 1 3 2001

Technology Center 2100

September 10, 2001

RESPONSE

Hon. Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Responsive to the Official Action dated April 23, 2001, kindly consider the following remarks.

REMARKS

Consideration and allowance in view of the following remarks are respectfully requested. Claims 9-41 are pending. Applicant thanks the Examiner for taking the time to meet with Applicant's representatives on August 29, 2001.

The Examiner provisionally rejected claim 9 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 36 of copending Application No. 08/980,058. Applicant will submit a terminal disclaimer in the present application should Application No. 08/980,058 be the first application to issue as a patent. MPEP § 804(I)(B).

09/11/2001 NEBDI1 00000030 09085755

01 FC:215

195.00 CP